COMP 5660/6660 Fall 2021 Exam 3 - Canvas Quiz

This is a closed-book, closed-notes exam. The sum of the max points for all the questions is 48, but note that the max exam score will be capped at 46 (i.e., there are 2 bonus points, but you can't score more than 100%). You have exactly 50 minutes to complete this exam. Keep your answers clear and concise while complete. Good luck!

- 1. A multi-population cooperative CoEA is a CoEA where: [4 pts]
 - (a) each population tries to solve its own problem without harming the fitness of any of the other populations
 - (b) the populations are symbiotic species
 - (c) each population is a different species representing part of a larger problem

Select one of:

- a
- b
- c
- a and b
- a and c
- b and c
- all of a, b, and c
- $\bullet\,$ none of a, b, nor c
- 2. In the context of two-population competitive coevolution, a CIAO plot: [4 pts]
 - (a) visualizes the progress of the two populations where the luminance of each pixel (x, y) indicates the relative performance of the y-axis population's fittest individual in generation y versus the x-axis population's fittest individual in generation x
 - (b) visualizes the relative performance of two populations where the luminance of each pixel (x, y) indicates the average performance of individual y from the y-axis population versus all its ancestors fittest opponents
 - (c) visualizes the relative performance of the two populations where the luminance of each pixel (x, y) indicates the current best fitness from the x-axis population at generation x divided by the current best fitness from the y-axis population at generation y
 - (d) allows the visual comparison of two different coevolutionary runs by comparing the populations from the final generation of each run
 - (e) isn't a plot, "ciao" is Italian for "hello"

- a
- b
- c
- d
- e
- none of a, b, c, d, nor e

- 3. Your Assignment 2c Pac-Man versus maps base problem: [4 pts]
 - (a) is technically not a competitive coevolution problem because Pac-Man controllers and maps are different species, so compete in different niches
 - (b) is technically not a competitive coevolution problem because they cooperate to find the fittest pairing of a Pac-Man controller and a map
 - (c) is technically not a competitive coevolution problem because Pac-Man's adversaries, the Ghosts, are not being evolved

- a
- b
- c
- a and b
- $\bullet\,$ a and c
- b and c
- all of a, b, and c
- none of a, b, nor c
- 4. What is the motivation for the automated design of crossover operators for EAs employing self-adaptation: [4 pts]
 - (a) EA performance is sensitive to the choice of crossover operator
 - (b) identifying & configuring best traditional crossover operator is time consuming
 - (c) existing crossover operators may be suboptimal for the problem at hand
 - (d) the optimal crossover operator may change during evolution

- a
- b
- c
- d
- $\bullet\,$ a and b
- $\bullet\,$ a and c
- a and d
- $\bullet\,$ b and c
- b and d
- $\bullet\,$ c and d
- $\bullet\,$ a, b, and c
- $\bullet\,$ a, b, and d
- a, c, and d
- $\bullet\,$ b, c, and d
- $\bullet\,$ all of a, b, c, and d
- none of a, b, c, nor d

- 5. Which of the following inherent characteristics of an EA makes it belong to the family of "embarrassingly parallel" algorithms:
 - (a) fitness evaluations within a generation can be computed independently
 - (b) runs of an EA can be computed independently
 - (c) individual fitness evaluations contain independent and parallelizable operations

- a
- b
- c
- a and b
- a and c
- b and c
- all of a, b, and c
- none of a, b, nor c

6. Some of the issues Interactive EAs face are: [4 pts]

- (a) human fitness bottleneck (i.e., humans are relatively slow in evaluating trial solutions)
- (b) humans are prone to fatigue and loss of attention
- (c) humans can be inconsistent
- (d) due to the visual and memory limitations of humans, the number of solutions being ranked at any given moment, needs to be kept relatively small

- a
- b
- c
- d
- $\bullet\,$ a and b
- a and c
- a and d
- $\bullet\,$ b and c
- b and d
- c and d
- a, b, and c
- a, b, and d
- \bullet a, c, and d
- b, c, and d
- all of a, b, c, and d
- none of a, b, c, nor d

- 7. In the automated design of EAs, encoding the evolutionary process as a directed graph is preferable compared to Koza-style GP trees, because it: [4 pts]
 - (a) is easier to code
 - (b) has a larger space of representable EAs
 - (c) makes bloat impossible
 - (d) is a more natural representation for evolutionary cycles

- a
- b
- c
- d
- a and b
- a and c
- a and d
- b and c
- b and d
- $\bullet\,$ c and d
- $\bullet\,$ a, b, and c
- $\bullet\,$ a, b, and d
- $\bullet\,$ a, c, and d
- b, c, and d
- \bullet a, b, c, and d
- none of a, b, c, nor d

8. Which of the following statements about Supportive Coevolution are true: [4 pts]

- (a) When employing supportive coevolution, the target fitness function only requires one individual per fitness evaluation, where as coevolution requires two or more individuals per fitness function evaluation
- (b) Supportive coevolution can only have a single genotype that must be used for all support individuals
- (c) Supportive coevolution is used to perform self-adaptation
- (d) Supportive coevolution requires a diffusion model to function

- a
- b
- c
- d
- a and b
- a and c
- a and d
- b and c
- b and d
- $\bullet\,$ c and d

- $\bullet\,$ a, b, and c
- $\bullet\,$ a, b, and d
- $\bullet\,$ a, c, and d
- $\bullet\,$ b, c, and d
- all of a, b, c, and d
- none of a, b, c, nor d
- 9. Which of the following statements about transitivity in competitive coevolutionary games are true: [4 pts]
 - (a) Tournament-based pairing schemes are ineffective for intransitive games, because eliminated strategies might still be dominant against the champion.
 - (b) Raw fitness is meaningless for intransitive games, and needs to be replaced by a surrogate fitness function.
 - (c) Competitive fitness sharing is effective for intransitive games, because it rewards strategies specialized to beat otherwise undefeated opponents.
 - (d) The Elo rating system is a good choice to model intransitive games, because it predicts winners based on differences in skill ratings.

- a
- b
- c
- d
- a and b
- a and c
- a and d
- $\bullet\,$ b and c
- $\bullet~$ b and d
- $\bullet\,$ c and d
- $\bullet\,$ a, b, and c
- \bullet a, b, and d
- $\bullet\,$ a, c, and d
- b, c, and d
- all of a, b, c, and d
- none of a, b, c, nor d

10. The Maelstrom model for competitive coevolution makes use of adversarial islands that: [4 pts]

- (a) perform interactive asymmetric coevolution
- (b) distribute individuals within a population about a geometry for localized evolution
- (c) generate relative fitness estimates against the local populations

- a
- b
- c

- $\bullet\,$ a and b
- $\bullet\,$ a and c
- $\bullet\,$ b and c
- $\bullet\,$ all of a, b, and c
- none of a, b, nor c
- 11. Assuming a simple genetic algorithm whose global optimum has a fitness of 100.0 and given the following bit strings v_1 through v_5 and schema S
 - $\begin{array}{l} v_1 = (10110110011001) \ fitness(v_1) = 1.0 \\ v_2 = (10110110011001) \ fitness(v_2) = 1.0 \\ v_3 = (10110110011001) \ fitness(v_3) = 1.0 \\ v_4 = (10110110011001) \ fitness(v_4) = 1.0 \\ v_5 = (10110110011001) \ fitness(v_5) = 1.0 \\ S = (10110110011001) \end{array}$
 - (a) Compute the order of S. [1]
 - (b) Compute the *defining length* of S and show your computation. [1]
 - (c) Compute the fitness of S and show your computation. [1]
 - (d) Do you expect the number of strings matching S to increase or decrease in subsequent generations? Explain your answer! [5]