

COMP 5660/6660/6666 Fall 2020 Final Exam - Canvas Quiz

This is a closed-book, closed-notes exam. The sum of the max points for all the questions is 132, but note that the max exam score will be capped at 124 (i.e., there are 8 bonus points but you can't score more than 100%). You have exactly two-and-a-half hours to complete this exam. Keep your answers clear and concise while complete. Good luck!

1. Fitness proportional selection suffers from the following problems: [4 pts]

- (a) when fitness values are all very close together, mediocre individuals take over the entire population very quickly, leading to premature convergence
- (b) outstanding individuals cause the selection pressure to drop because they decrease the number of slots on the virtual roulette wheel from which individuals are selected
- (c) transposed versions of the fitness function all behave identically while they represent different problems which we obviously want to be able to differentiate between

Select one of:

- a
- b
- c
- a and b
- a and c
- b and c
- a, b, and c
- none of a, b, nor c

2. Modern Evolutionary Programming (EP) is practically merging with modern Evolution Strategies (ES) in the aspects of: [4 pts]

- (a) parent selection
- (b) self-adaptation of mutation step sizes
- (c) the order in which mutation variables and strategy parameters are updated

Select one of:

- a
- b
- c
- a and b
- a and c
- b and c
- a, b, and c
- none of a, b, nor c

3. The Pitt and Michigan approaches in Learning Classifier Systems differ in that: [4 pts]

- (a) in the Pitt approach each individual has the option of either representing a single rule or a rule set, while in the Michigan approach each individual represents a single rule and the entire population represents the complete rule set
- (b) in the Pitt approach each individual represents a single rule and the entire population represents the complete rule set, while in the Michigan approach each individual has the option of either representing a single rule or a rule set
- (c) in the Pitt approach each individual represents a complete rule set, while in the Michigan approach each individual represents a single rule and the entire population represents the complete rule set
- (d) in the Pitt approach each individual represents a single rule and the entire population represents the complete rule set, while in the Michigan approach each individual represents a complete rule set
- (e) in the Pitt approach each individual represents a complete rule set, while in the Michigan approach each individual has the option of either representing a single rule or a rule set

Select one of:

- a
- b
- c
- d
- e
- none of a, b, c, d, nor e

4. The exacerbation of premature convergence in memetic algorithms is due to: [4 pts]

- (a) limited seeding
- (b) diversity preserving recombination operators
- (c) non-duplicating selection operators
- (d) Boltzmann selection

Select one of:

- a
- b
- c
- d
- a and b
- a and c
- a and d
- b and c
- b and d
- c and d
- a, b, and c
- a, b, and d
- a, c, and d
- b, c, and d
- a, b, c, and d
- none of a, b, c, nor d

5. On a computer system with 200 computing cores and given a population size of 100 and an offspring size of 500, employing an Asynchronous Parallel EA (APEA) for evolving GP controllers for Pac-Man: [4 pts]
- (a) may be expected to reduce run-time versus a Synchronous Parallel EA (SPEA) because a SPEA cannot utilize more cores than the offspring size while an APEA can
 - (b) may be expected to increase run-time versus a SPEA because an APEA cannot utilize more cores than the population size while a SPEA can
 - (c) may be expected to reduce run-time versus a SPEA because a SPEA has to wait for the longest evaluation to complete while an APEA can exploit the heterogeneous evaluation times common to GP

Select one of:

- a
- b
- c
- a and b
- a and c
- b and c
- a, b, and c
- none of a, b, nor c

6. A Coevolutionary Algorithm (CoEA) is an EA: [4 pts]

- (a) where the fitness of each individual depends on one or more individuals from a different species
- (b) with exactly two populations
- (c) with two or more populations
- (d) where the fitness of each individual depends on one or more other individuals

Select one of:

- a
- b
- c
- d
- a and b
- a and c
- b and d
- c and d
- none of a, b, nor c

7. Is it necessary in competitive coevolution to recompute each individual's fitness every generation? [4 pts]
- (a) Yes, because in competitive coevolution, fitness is dependent on other individuals, so it can change every generation.
 - (b) Yes, because in competitive coevolution, the fitness of an individual in one population is dependent on the fitness of all the individuals in the competing population, so it can change every generation.
 - (c) No, because in competitive coevolution, the fitness of an individual only needs to be recomputed when one or more of the opponents it was previously sampled against are eliminated.
 - (d) No, because in all evolutionary algorithms, including coevolution, fitness is an absolute measure of the quality of the solution encoded in an individual.

Select one of:

- a
- b
- c
- d
- none of a, b, c, nor d

8. One countermeasure to cycling in competitive coevolution is maintaining a hall of fame which: [4 pts]
- (a) stores the most famous warriors of previous generations in order to intimidate current individuals in the opposing population
 - (b) stores the best solutions found in previous generations to guarantee that the global best solution is preserved and not forgotten over and over again
 - (c) consists of the best individuals of previous generations against who current individuals are competed to prevent later populations from "forgetting" about the winning traits of earlier generations

Select one of:

- a
- b
- c
- a and b
- a and c
- b and c
- a, b, and c
- none of a, b, nor c

Regular Questions

9. Explain why it does or why it does not make sense to investigate the Baldwin Effect for a Lamarckian Evolutionary Algorithm approach to solving the Light Up Puzzle. [4 pts]
10. How are conflicting rules in the action set of a Learning Classifier System resolved? [4 pts]
11. Alice is writing an EA to solve the binary knapsack constraint satisfaction problem. Recall that this problem consists of identifying a set of items that maximize value while keeping their cumulative cost below a known limit. Given the following constraint handling approaches:
 - (a) Ignore the constraints under the motto: all is well that ends well.
 - (b) Upon generating an infeasible solution, immediately kill it and generate a new solution; repeat this step until a feasible solution is generated.
 - (c) Employ a penalty function that reduces the fitness of infeasible solutions, preferably so that the fitness is reduced in proportion to the number of constraints violated, or to the distance from the feasible region.
 - (d) Employ a repair function that takes infeasible solutions and “repairs” them by transforming them into a related feasible solution, typically as close as possible to the infeasible one.
 - (e) Employ a closed feasible solution space which guarantees that the initial population consists of feasible solutions only and all evolutionary operations on feasible solutions are guaranteed to result in feasible solutions. Typically a combination of custom representation, initialization, recombination, and mutation is employed to achieve this.
 - (f) Employ a decoder function that maps genotype space to phenotype space such that the phenotypes are guaranteed to be feasible even when the genotypes are infeasible. Typically this involves mapping multiple different genotypes to the same phenotype.

Which of these six constraint handling approaches do you recommend Alice employs? Explain your answer! [10 pts]

12. Is the genotypic encoding for the Assignment 2 Series of Pac-Man vs. the Ghosts pleiotropic, polygenetic, both, or neither? Explain your answer! [6 pts]
13. Is the genotype-phenotype decoding function for the Assignment 2 Series of Pac-Man vs. the Ghosts surjective, injective, both, or neither? Explain your answer! [6 pts]
14. Is the phenotype to fitness mapping for the Assignment 2 Series of Pac-Man vs. the Ghosts surjective, injective, both, or neither? Explain your answer! [6 pts]
15. Given the following two parents with permutation representation:
 $p1 = (475318692)$
 $p2 = (524836971)$
 - (a) Compute the first offspring with Cycle Crossover. [6 pts]
 - (b) Compute the first offspring with PMX, using crossover points between the 2nd and 3rd loci and between the 6th and 7th loci. [7 pts]
 - (c) Compute the first offspring with Order Crossover, using crossover points between the 3rd and 4th loci and between the 7th and 8th loci. [5 pts]
 - (d) Compute the first offspring with Edge Crossover, except that for each random choice you instead select the lowest element. [16 pts]

16. Assuming a simple genetic algorithm whose global optimum has a fitness of 100.0 and given the following bit strings v_1 through v_5 and schema S

$$v_1 = (01010110011001) \text{ fitness}(v_1) = 88.0$$

$$v_2 = (01110110001001) \text{ fitness}(v_2) = 1.0$$

$$v_3 = (01110110111001) \text{ fitness}(v_3) = 1.0$$

$$v_4 = (11110110011000) \text{ fitness}(v_4) = 1.0$$

$$v_5 = (11110110011001) \text{ fitness}(v_5) = 2.0$$

$$S = (01 * 10 * 10 * * * 001)$$

- Compute the *order* of S . [1 pts]
- Compute the *defining length* of S and show your computation. [2 pts]
- Compute the fitness of S and show your computation. [3 pts]
- Do you expect the number of strings matching S to increase or decrease in subsequent generations? Explain your answer! [8 pts]

17. Say you want to purchase a new house and care most about maximizing square footage and minimizing price. You collect square footage data and pricing on ten different houses and then you normalize both the square footage data and the pricing which results in the following table, where higher square footage numbers indicate greater square footage and higher affordability numbers indicate lower price:

ID	Square footage	Affordability
1	4	3
2	7	6
3	1	10
4	8	3
5	2	4
6	10	2
7	3	6
8	3	1
9	5	5
10	6	1

- List for each element which elements it dominates; indicate elements with their IDs. [4 pts]
- Show the population distributed over non-dominated levels, like some multi-objective EAs employ, after each addition of an element, starting with element 1 and ending with element 10 increasing the element number one at a time; indicate elements with their IDs. So you need to show ten different population distributions, the first one consisting of a single element, and the last one consisting of ten elements. [12 pts]